S.B.CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION NO.3308/2006

Date : 10.08.2006

HON'BLE MR. SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK, J.

Mr. B.S. Rathore for the applicant.
Mr. Vishnu Kachhawaha, Public Prosecutor for State.

I have heard learned counsel for the applicant as well as the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and carefully gone through the impugned order.

In the instant case, allegations are to the effect that accused applicant entered in the house of the complainant. Another co-accused was having pistol in his hand and fired. The allegations are also available on record that a gold chain was also snatched and recovery is to be made.

I have considered the submissions made before me.

Taking into consideration the overall facts and circumstances of the present case, I do not find it to be a fit

case to extend benefit of anticipatory bail to the applicant.

Accordingly, the bail application moved under by the applicant under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is rejected.

(SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK), J.

vijayant